### ECS support detection and birthday attack hardening

#### Ralph Dolmans ralph@nlnetlabs.nl



NLnet Labs

OARC 26 - Madrid - May 2017

#### **ECS birthday attack**

- Multiple in-flight queries for same QNAME/QTYPE/QCLASS
- Answers without ECS record are accepted
- RFC7871, section 11.2
  - States issue, but no solution

OARC 26 - Madrid - May 2017



# Limit ECS queries, but how..?

- ECS support signaling by nameservers
  - Does not exist :(
- RFC7871, section 12
  - Whitelist
  - Probing



### **Probing proposal**

- Always include ECS record
- Set prefix scope to /0 on first query

   No ECS in response → accept answer
  - ECS in response → send new query containing client's address in ECS record

#### Probing proposal cont.

- No extra queries for non-ECS zones
- No ECS to root and TLDs

   QNAME minimisation
- Dropping queries containing unknown EDNS options → mark as "EDNS lame"
   No DNSSEC

Page 5 / 7

#### Birthday attack hardening

- Require ECS response when probing query shows ECS support
  - Re-query without ECS otherwise
- Also probe before forwarding ECS!
  - Exception possible when incoming query has /0 prefix (don't probe the probing query)



## Please share your opinions!

- Can the whitelist approach be (operationally) workable?
- Do we need a signaling specification?
- Should we do probing? Is this the correct way?

