Expectation vs. Reality: The Impact of DNSSEC Validation on Recursive Resolver Operations

Moritz Müller, Elmer Lastdrager, Cristian Hesselman | OARC 40

10 February 2023



## The current situation

DNSKEY Algorithm RSA-SHA256 support of 24,113 resolvers used by RIPE Atlas probes<sup>1</sup>





# Why are resolvers not validating DNSSEC?

Hypotheses

- Operators see DNSSEC validation as a burden
- Operators do not see the benefits of DNSSEC validation



# Approach

- Carry out a survey among 120 operators of recursive resolvers
  - Of which 87 (72.5%) run validating resolvers
  - That work for a large variety of organizations (e.g. ISPs, educational networks, and public DNS services)
- With a varying number of clients between a few hundred and a few million
- Additionally, 6 interviews for anecdotal evidence















Our recursive resolver is the target of cache poisoning attacks.





Do protocols and technology based on DNSSEC increase the value?

## Do protocols and technology based on DNSSEC increase the value?

#### DANE





### Do protocols and technology based on DNSSEC increase the value?

Aggressive Use of DNSSEC-Validated Cache (RFC 8198)

- 56% of all operators have heard of RFC 8198
- 28% of non-validating operators might turn on RFC 8198 in the future



What is the perceived (and experienced) operational and organizational overhead of DNSSEC?

What is the perceived (and experienced) operational and organizational overhead of DNSSEC?

"DNSSEC validation increases the workload for resolver operations, compared to not validating DNSSEC signed records."





What is the perceived (and experienced) operational and organizational overhead of DNSSEC?

How much does DNSSEC validation roughly increase workload for DNS operations?





What is the perceived (and experienced) operational

and organizational overhead of DNSSEC?

"DNSSEC validation increases the workload for help desks, compared to not validating DNSSEC signed records."





What causes the overhead?

### What causes the overhead?

- Misconfigurations at the domain name
  - At operations: 64% validating, 83% not validating
  - At help desks: 80% validating, 57% not validating



Does the type of DNS service and organization play a role?

### Does the type of DNS service and organization play a role?

Туре	Total (%)	Validating	Not validating	Validation Rate %	Dedicated Team %
ISP	45 (37.5)	31	14	68.9 🛰	31.1 🛰
Education	19 (15.8)	15	4	79.0 🗷	31.6 🛰
Commercial	16 (13.3)	9	7	56.2 🛰	25.0 🛰
Hosting	11 (9.2)	8	3	72.7	18.2 🛰
Public DNS	9 (7.5)	8	1	88.9 🜌	55.5 🗡
Cloud	8 (6.7)	6	2	75.0 🛹	37.5 🗡
Private	8 (6.7)	8	0	100 🕶	-
Governmental	4 (3.3)	2	2	50.0 🛰	25.0 🛰
All	120	87	33	72.5 $(\bar{x})$	34.9 ( <i>x</i> )



### Conclusions

- DNSSEC is perceived useful
- DNSSEC validation can increase the workload, but by how much differs
- DNSSEC sceptics vs DNSSEC sympathizers



### **Discussion and Recommendations**

- How to convince the DNSSEC sympathizers?
  - More signed domain names
  - Improve DNSSEC signing further
  - Hope that DNSSEC validation becomes the new default "by its own"
  - Move validation to the client



Follow us

SIDN.nl
@SIDN
SIDN

# Thank you for your attention!

